



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/802,265

03/16/2004

Anthony Maglica

728256-100/244

4321

34026

7590

04/11/2007

JONES DAY

555 SOUTH FLOWER STREET FIFTIETH FLOOR

LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

EXAMINER

HAN, JASON

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2875

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/11/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,265	MAGLICA, ANTHONY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Han	2875	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Charles C. Wong.

(3) S.P.E. Sandra O'Shea.

(2) Jason M. Han.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 April 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Independent Claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Barany (U.S. Patent 1,513,211), Kobayashi et al. (U.S. Patent 5,999,749), Lai (U.S. Patent 6,726,342 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Sandra O'Shea  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2800

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was reached regarding patentability over the prior art to Barany, whereby the claim language suggests the light source holder being movable rather than the reflector. However, Independent Claims 149, 160, 172, and 176 were not considered allowable for lack of illustrating/potraying said holder being movable perpendicularly, or similarly, to the reflector axis. Claim 221 was cited for lack of antecedent basis. In addition, Claims 226-235 were not considered patentable in view of Kobayashi and/or Lai. Applicant agreed to further reconsider the language of the above claims and schedule an interview in hopes of expediting prosecution.